PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT-04R-175	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/JP2004/011973	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 August 2004 (20.08.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21 August 2003 (21.08.2003)	,		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant ROHM CO., LTD					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opir applicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial dexplanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	mational application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application			
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).					
	-					
			Date of issuance of this report 08 May 2006 (08.05.2006)			
The International Bureau of WIPO			Authorized officer			
34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Masashi Honda			

Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION PCT-04R-175 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2004/011973 20.08.2004 21.08.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant ROHM CO., LTD This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Facsimile No. Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/011973

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was , unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed antion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
i		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/011973

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (?	N)	Claims	2-6	YES
			Claims	1, 7-10	NO
	Inventive	step (IS)	Claims		YES
			Claims	1-10	NO
	Industrial	applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
			Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP,2002-208238,A(Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.,26 July 2002(26.07.02),Paragraphs [0030]-[0037] Document 2: JP,2000-14190,A(Matsushita Electric Works, Ltd.),14 January 2000(14.01.00),Paragraphs [0023]-[0028] & EP,952663,A2 & US,6133701,A1 Document 3: JP,5-244790,A(Chinon Industries, Ltd.),21 September 1993(21.09.93),Full text

The invention relating to Claims 1 and 7-10 is described in Document 1 Paragraphs [0030]-[0037] quoted in the International Investigative Report, so it lacks novelty and is not an advance.

The invention relating to Claims 2-6 is not an advance over Documents 2 and 3 quoted in Document 1 and the International Investigative Report. The speed control system described in Document 1 consists of a differential amplifier circuit as described in Documents 2 and 3, and, at the same time, the gain of the said differential amplifier circuit can be set to an appropriate value by adjusting the resistance of a variable resistor, which is easy for a person skilled in the art.